

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/718,190 | | 11/20/2003 | David V. Rodgers | D5434 | 9820 |
| 30409 | 7590 | 06/03/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| INTERNA? 4201 WINFI | | L ENGINE INT | Y HOANG, JOHNNY H | | |
| P.O. BOX 14 | | , AD | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WARRENV | ILLE, II | L 60555 | 3747 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/718,190 | RODGERS, DAVID V. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The MAN INC DATE of the | Johnny H. Hoang | 3747 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>25-27</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of t | a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion Noved in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/718,190

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 25-27 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 25 must be depended on claim 16. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-29, and 31-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weisbrod et al (US 6,189,523 B1).

Regarding claim 1, the reference of Weisbrod et al discloses the method and system for controlling an air-to-fuel ratio in a non-stoichiometric power governed gaseous—fueled stationary internal combustion engine including the following subject matters:

an internal combustion engine having a fueling system for fueling the engine (see abstract);

one or more sources providing data relevant to operations of the apparatus that are external to the engine but potentially influential on fueling of the engine (see Fig. 1; and col. 1, lines 1-40); and

an engine control system (10) comprising a processor (28) for processing data according to an all-speed governing strategy for controlling the fueling system to develop all-speed governed fueling data that sets engine fueling when a data input to the engine control system

from the one or more sources discloses no need to influence engine fueling, but when the data input from such one or more sources discloses a need to influence engine fueling, that data input causes engine fueling to be set by a strategy other than the all-speed governing strategy (above discussions and further see col. 9, line 6 through col. 10, line 45).

Regarding claim 2, the reference of Weisbrod et al further teach engine 12 is not relatively small displacement engine of type used in self-propelled vehicles such as automobiles, but rather, is a relatively larger displacement, stationary engine (col. 7, lines 26-29).

Regarding claims 4-8, as discussed in claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, the reference of Weisbrod et al further teaches a momentary over speed control portion that is effective to allow engine speed to exceed high idle speed for a limited time (col. 10, line 57 through col. 11, line 53).

Regarding claims 10, and 11, as discussed in claims 1, and 9.

Regarding claims 12-15, as discussed in claim 1.

Regarding claims 16-29, and 31-46, as discussed in the apparatus of claims 1-15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weisbrod et al in view of Isaac et al (US 6,016,459).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,190

Art Unit: 3747

The reference of Weisbrod et al discloses the claimed invention except for one or more

sources comprise one or more of an ABS system; a traction control system; and a transmission

through which the engine drives driven ones of wheels of the vehicle. The reference of Isaac et

al teaches an engine net output torque data signal maybe used to provide better definition for

transmission shift points, particularly automatic transmission shift points. Such a signal may

also be useful input to a vehicle traction control system (col. 1, lines 36-45). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included an

ABS system; or a traction control; or a transmission through which the engine drives drivenones

of the wheels of the vehicle to the reference of Weisbrod et al as taught by Isaac et al in

advance for improving engine and vehicle performance.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH

May 24, 2005

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Tony M. Argenbright
Primary Examiner
Art I mit 3747

Page 4